Which service area and directorate are you from?

Service Area:N/A

Directorate: Cabinet members, Chris Holley, Wendy Lewis, Mike Lewis, Jan Curtis, Des Thomas, Cyril Anderson and Sam Pritchard

Q1 (a) What are you screening for relevance?

New and revised policies, practices or procedures

Service review, re-organisation or service changes/reductions, which affect the wider

community, service users and/or staff

Efficiency or saving proposals

Setting budget allocations for new financial year and strategic financial planning

New project proposals affecting staff, communities or accessibility to the built environment,

e.g., new construction work or adaptations to existing buildings, moving to on-line services, changing location

] Large Scale Public Events

Local implementation of National Strategy/Plans/Legislation

Strategic directive and intent, including those developed at Regional Partnership Boards and Public Services Board, which impact on a public bodies functions

Medium to long term plans (for example, corporate plans, development plans, service delivery and improvement plans)

Setting objectives (for example, well-being objectives, equality objectives, Welsh language strategy)

Major procurement and commissioning decisions

Decisions that affect the ability (including external partners) to offer Welsh language opportunities and services

(b) Please name and fully <u>describe</u> initiative here:

Universal Credit motion

This council deplores the cruel and spiteful decision by the Tory U.K. government to cut Universal Credit payments by £20 per week (or £1040 per year) for millions of people across Wales and the U.K.

This cut will potentially leave thousands of Swansea residents facing a substantial cut to their income, and remove hundreds of thousands of pounds from the local economy. It's impacts will be simply devastating on low income households.

We call upon the Leader of council (and any political Group leaders who are prepared to sign) to write a letter laying out our strong objection to the proposed universal credit cut; to highlight the impacts on Swansea residents and families; and urge the Tory U.K. Government in the strongest possible terms to reverse the cut and retain the £20 per week.

Q2 What is the potential impact on the following: the impacts below could be positive (+) or negative (-)

	High Impact	Medium Impact	Low Impact	Needs further investigation
	+ -	+ -	+ -	
Children/young people (0-18)			\square	

Older people (50+) Any other age group Future Generations (yet to be born) Disability Race (including refugees) Asylum seekers Gypsies & travellers Religion or (non-)belief Sex Religion or (non-)belief Sex Sexual Orientation Gender reassignment Welsh Language Poverty/social exclusion Carers (inc. young carers) Community cohesion Marriage & civil partnership Pregnancy and maternity				
---	--	--	--	--

Q3 What involvement has taken place/will you undertake e.g. engagement/consultation/co-productive approaches? Please provide details below – either of your activities or your reasons for not undertaking involvement

Does not apply to notice of motions

Q4 Have you considered the Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015 in the development of this initiative:

- a) Overall does the initiative support our Corporate Plan's Well-being Objectives when considered together? Yes 🕅
 - No
- b) Does the initiative consider maximising contribution to each of the seven national wellbeing goals? Yes 🖂 No

X	-

- c) Does the initiative apply each of the five ways of working? Yes 🖂 No 🗌
- d) Does the initiative meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs? Νο Yes 🖂

\bowtie		NO	L

Q5 What is the potential risk of the initiative? (Consider the following impacts - equality, socio-economic, environmental, cultural, legal, financial, political, *media, public perception etc...)*

High risk	Medium risk	Low risk
		\boxtimes

Q6 Will this initiative have an impact (however minor) on any other Council service?

Q7 What is the cumulative impact of this proposal on people and/or communities when considering all the impacts identified within the screening and any other key decisions affecting similar groups/ service users made by the organisation?

(You may need to discuss this with your Service Head or Cabinet Member to consider more widely if this proposal will affect certain groups/ communities more adversely because of other decisions the organisation is making. For example, financial impact/poverty, withdrawal of multiple services and whether this is disadvantaging the same groups, e.g., disabled people, older people, single parents (who are mainly women), etc.)

No impacts identified, this motion if approved clarifies the Council position of the proposed decrease to universal credit

Outcome of Screening

Q8 Please describe the outcome of your screening below:

- Summary of impacts identified and mitigation needed (Q2)
- Summary of involvement (Q3)
- WFG considerations (Q4)
- Any risks identified (Q5)
- Cumulative impact (Q7)

There are low impacts in the relation to proposed notice of motion. If approved the motion will clarify the Councils position in relation to the UK government's decision to decrease universal credit by £20 per week.

(NB: This summary paragraph should be used in the relevant section of corporate report)

Full IIA to be completed

Do not complete IIA – please ensure you have provided the relevant information above to support this outcome

NB: Please email this completed form to the Access to Services Team for agreement before obtaining approval from your Head of Service. Head of Service approval is only required via email.

Screening completed by:	
Name: Rhian Millar	
Job title: Access to Services Manager	
Date: 12/7/21	
Approval by Head of Service:	
Name: Tracey Meredith	
Position: Chief Legal Officer and Monitoring Officer	
Date: 12/7/21	